Page 1

From: "Carlin, Jayne" < Carlin.Jayne@epa.gov>

To: "Croxton, David" < Croxton. David@epa.gov>

"Henning, Alan" < Henning. Alan@epa.gov>

"Hodgkiss, Miranda" < Hodgkiss.Miranda@epa.gov>

"Mann, Laurie" <mann.laurie@epa.gov>

"Ramrakha, Jayshika" < Ramrakha. Jayshika @epa.gov>

"Schary, Claire" <Schary.Claire@epa.gov>
"Woodruff, Leigh" <Woodruff.Leigh@epa.gov>
"Zell, Christopher" <zell.christopher@epa.gov>

Date: 4/16/2018 12:11:37 PM

Subject: FYI: FY18 Funding Request for Contracts on Litigation Related Projects

Hi All,

I just sent the attached "FY18 Funding Request for Contracts on Litigation Related Projects" to Eric—the one without comments only. FYI I also attached the one with comments.

You do not need to do anything. I have a call with Eric scheduled for Wed to discuss the estimates. I may need your assistance at that time or after the call if he asks me any questions that I cannot easily answer.

Jayne

Jayne Carlin, Watersheds Unit US EPA, Region 10 1200 6th Ave, Suite 155 (OWW-192) Seattle, WA 98101-3140 (206) 553-8512 carlin.jayne@epa.gov <mailto:carlin.jayne@epa.gov>

Region 10 TMDL & WQS Work Cost Estimates FY18*

Project Name	Additional Information	FY17	FY18 (April 2018 through Dec 2018)	FY18 or FY19 (Jan 2019 through March 2019	TOTAL (Requested for through Base Period Ending 3/31/2019)	FY19	TOTAL Spent and Requested
Columbia Temperature TMDL	TMDL Modeling	\$80,000	\$70,000	\$40,000	\$110,000	0	\$190,000
Klamath Temperature TMDL	TMDL Modeling & TMDL Development (21 Stage 1 waters)	\$58,000	\$127,000	\$15,000	\$142,000	0	\$200,000
	Sensitivity Analysis	0	\$100,000		\$100,000	0	\$100,000
	Criteria Development	0		\$84,000	\$84,000	\$135,000	\$219,000
	TMDL Modeling & TMDL Development (3 Stage 2 waters)					\$212,000	\$212,000
Willamette Mercury TMDL	(congramment)	\$135,000	\$94,000	\$27,000	\$121,000	0	\$256,000
Deschutes TMDL	Areas of TMDLs disapproved only	0	\$137,000	\$100,000	\$237,000	0	\$237,000
Alaska TMDLs	2 TMDLs/annually	\$97,000	\$40,000	\$4,000	\$44,000	\$70,000	\$211,000
First of Ten Additional OR temperature TMDLs**	TMDL Modeling	0				\$150,000	\$150,000
	Sensitivity analysis	0				\$80,000	\$80,000
	Criteria Development	0				\$400,000	\$400,000

Project Name	Additional Information	FY17	FY18 (April 2018 through Dec 2018)	FY18 or FY19 (Jan 2019 through March 2019	TOTAL (Requested for through Base Period Ending	FY19	TOTAL Spent and Requested
			,		3/31/2019)		
Rest of Ten OR temperature TMDLs**	TMDL Modeling (9 x \$150k)	0				\$1,350,000	\$1,350,000
	Sensitivity Analysis (4 x \$80k)	0				\$320,000	\$320,000
	Criteria Development (1 x \$400k)	0				\$400,000	\$400,000
TOTALS		\$370,000	\$568,000	\$270,000	\$838,000	3,117,000	4,325,000

^{*}Rounded to the nearest thousand

^{**}Caveat: Allocation of cost between TMDL work and Criteria Development work is our best guess, but can be refined if needed but will take more time to provide. R10 believes the total amount is reasonably accurate. Also the program costs depend on the approach taken with the criteria development, and watershed-specific conditions. This is an estimate for EPA to fund all of the work, without state resources. The first strategy for criteria development, for instance, would be to seek for OR to do the work with its resources.

Region 10 TMDL & WQS Work Cost Estimates FY18*

	Additional	FY17	FY18 (April	FY18 or FY19	TOTAL	FY19	TOTAL Spent
Project Name	Information		2018	(Jan 2019 through	(Requested for		and
			through Dec	March 2019	through Base		Requested
			2018)		Period Ending		
					3/31/2019)		
Columbia Temperature	TMDL Modeling	\$80,000	\$70,000	\$40,000	\$110,000	0	\$190,000
TMDL							_
Klamath Temperature	TMDL Modeling &	\$58,000	\$127,000	\$15,000	\$142,000	0	\$200,000
TMDL	TMDL Development	,,,,,,,,,	7 7	ļ /	Ψ ,σσσ		7=37/5
	(21 Stage 1 waters)						
	Sensitivity Analysis	0	\$100,000		\$100,000	0	\$100,000
	Criteria Development	0		\$84,000	\$84,000	\$ <mark>135,000</mark>	\$219,000
	TMDL Modeling &					\$212,000	\$212,000
	TMDL Development						
	(3 Stage 2 waters)						///
Willamette Mercury		\$135,000	\$94,000	\$27,000	\$121,000	0	\$256,000
TMDL							
Deschutes TMDL	Areas of TMDLs	0	\$137,000	\$100,000	\$237,000	0	\$237,000
	disapproved only	_	, , , , , , , , , , , ,	,,	, ,,,,,	-	
Alaska TMDLs	2 TMDLs/annually	\$97,000	\$40,000	\$4,000	\$44,000	\$70,000	\$211,000
Alaska TIVIDES	2 HVIDES/allitually	\$97,000	540,000	\$4,000	344,000	\$70,000	3211,000
First of Ten Additional OR	TMDL Modeling	0				\$150,000	\$150,000
temperature TMDLs**							
	Sensitivity analysis	0				\$80,000	\$80,000
	Criteria Development	0				\$400,000	\$400,000

Commented [KA2]: Task 1-5, 7, and 8

Commented [KA3]: Task 9

Commented [KA1]: This goes through Task 9 in the current TD (minus task 6, which is on the next line) and includes work for Lost River (that is not currently included in the TD)

Commented [KA4]: Task 6; assumes 1-3 model runs plus memo documentation for Jenny, Miller, and Klamath

Commented [KA5]: Assuming Task 15 and a limited amount of Task 16 (from overall work plan, not TD) in early 2019

Commented [KA6]: Remainder of work plan Task 16 support; exact support is uncertain

Commented [KA7]: To complete TMDL report (and associated modeling scenarios) for remaining 3 waters; this assumes several modeling scenarios to define the TMDL

Project Name	Additional Information	FY17	FY18 (April 2018 through Dec 2018)	FY18 or FY19 (Jan 2019 through March 2019	TOTAL (Requested for through Base Period Ending 3/31/2019)	FY19	TOTAL Spent and Requested
Rest of Ten OR temperature TMDLs**	TMDL Modeling (9 x \$150k)	0				\$1,350,000	\$1,350,000
	Sensitivity Analysis (4 x \$80k)	0				\$320,000	\$320,000
	Criteria Development (1 x \$400k)	0				\$400,000	\$400,000
TOTALS		\$370,000	\$568,000	\$270,000	\$838,000	3,117,000	4,325,000

^{*}Rounded to the nearest thousand

^{**}Caveat: Allocation of cost between TMDL work and Criteria Development work is our best guess, but can be refined if needed but will take more time to provide. R10 believes the total amount is reasonably accurate. Also the program costs depend on the approach taken with the criteria development, and watershed-specific conditions. This is an estimate for EPA to fund all of the work, without state resources. The first strategy for criteria development, for instance, would be to seek for OR to do the work with its resources.